

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,339	12/04/2003	Donald W. Taylor	DE010DT-1	1133
7590 06/16/2005			EXAMINER	
MICHAEL K. BOYER ORSCHELN MANAGEMENT CO			MULCAHY, PETER D	
2000 US HWY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MOBERLY, M	II 65270		1713	
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/14/200	e

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/729,339	TAYLOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Fe</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 February 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,7-18 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,7-18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,7-18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/05&10/29/04. 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Topor recipinal bate <u>a strong for 23/04.</u>						



Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: 11/1/04;3/16/05;4/21/05;5/29/05;4/2/04&12/1/04.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,339 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 10/29/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2, 7-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The applicant attempts to exclude materials by using the language "substantially free" followed by generically listed chemical compounds "peroxides, amines, polyamide..." This is indefinite. The scope of the claim is not definite because there is an overlap in scope between the claimed "curable compound" and the compounds listed as being excluded. For example, melamine is listed as a compound to be excluded and as a compound to be used as a curable compound requisite claim 8. Furthermore, many chemical compounds contain groups which are included and excluded such as epoxy amine compounds.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,339 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

5. It is not clear if all acids are excluded or if only those considered "curing agents" are excluded. This is indefinite because the acids are not identified and different acids would function as curing agents given different conditions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2, 7-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuki et al.
- 8. This patent describes compositions which can be heat cured. The curable compound is shown at column 3, lines 5+. The silane compound as requisite claim 17 is suggested at column 3, lines 33+. The metal acrylate is described at column 4 lines 31+. The preferred Zn diacrylate is rendered obvious by the generic listing and the Zn acrylates specifically identified in the examples. Boron nitride and titanates are suggested at column 5 lines 20+. The difference between the claimed invention and the prior art is that there is no example of each of the claimed ingredients used in combination with one another in the absence of the compounds to be excluded. The claims are obvious because each of the claimed ingredients are suggested to be used together and the compounds to be excluded are optional. Further, it is obvious to leave out ingredients and lose their known function. Given the indefiniteness of the claim and

Art Unit: 1713

the known function of the functional ingredients one would be motivated to formulate compositions falling within the scope of the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pétér D.' Mulqahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

Art Offic 17

6/13/05